

Local Health Departments Leading to Address Social Determinants of Health

Amber Dean, PhD, MSW, MBA & Olivia Borland, BSW
 WSU Community Engagement Institute

Introduction

The **social determinants of health (SDoH)** are the conditions in which people are born, live, learn, work, and play that affects their health ([WHO](#)). The priority for public health to address the social determinants of health has emerged with the **Public Health 3.0 Model**.

Economic Stability	Neighborhood and Physical Environment	Education	Food	Community and Social Context	Health Care System
Employment	Housing	Literacy	Hunger	Social Integration	Health Coverage
Income	Transportation	Language	Access to Healthy Options	Support Systems	Provider Availability
Debt	Safety	Early Childhood Education		Community Engagement	Provider Linguistic and Cultural Competency
Medical Bills	Parks	Vocational Training		Discrimination	Quality of Care
Support	Playgrounds	Higher Education		Stress	
	Walkability				
	Zip Code / Geography				

Health Outcomes
 Mortality, Morbidity, Life Expectancy, Health Care Expenditures, Health Status, Functional Limitations

Fig. 1. The [Kaiser Family Foundation model](#) categorizes the SDoH into 6 categories and was the framework used for this study.

The **purpose** of this study was to learn what Kansas health departments are doing to address the social determinants of health in their communities and inform

Methods & Participants

Participants were identified using the **KDHE 2019 State Formula Survey**. The local health departments (LHDs) were recruited via email to participate in a semi-structured phone interview. The interview topics focused on how the LHD is addressing the SDoH, strategies and tools, community partners, strengths and barriers, and what support is needed to advance the work. There were **13 participants**, representing all [regions](#) of Kansas except north central, with 6 being in the northeast region. See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 for additional participant characteristics.

SDoH Area LHDs Addressed (n=13)	
Economic Stability	3
Neighborhood & Physical Environment	4
Food	5
Health Care Systems	1

Fig. 2

Population Density of LHDs Interviewed (n=13)	
Frontier	3
Densely-settled rural	2
Rural	1
Semi-urban	4
Urban	3

Fig. 3

Key Findings

Health Department Roles

Public Health 3.0 recommends that governmental public health positions itself as their community's [Chief Health Strategist](#). LHDs did this through playing one or more of the following roles:

- **Convener & Leader (9):** Leads and/or highly involved in coalitions; move work forward internally and externally
- **Data Role (3):** Provide data from CHA/CHIP or other sources to facilitate work internally and with partners
- **Funding Pass-Through (3):** LHD receives grant funds and works with community partners on shared goals

Strengths & Facilitators and Barriers to SDoH Work

- ✓ Community support and engagement from residents, coalitions, and businesses
- ✓ Grant funding allows work to be done
- ✓ Many LHDs found skills learned in leadership trainings useful to facilitate work
- ✓ Support from public health state and system partners who provide facilitation, technical assistance, and subject matter expertise
- ✗ More time and funding is always needed to make progress
- ✗ Can be difficult to get community involved
- ✗ The work is adaptive, which makes it slow and creates fear of messing up
- ✗ Local/county government may not understand why public health does SDoH work
- ✗ Frontier and rural LHDs face limited funding and smaller staff sizes

Fig. 4. Depicts common themes mentioned when LHDs were asked about strengths and barriers to doing SDoH work

Training and Support Needs

Training Topic Needs by Focus Area		
	Skills Focus	Information Focus
Training Topics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leadership training • Strategic doing/ technical assistance • Grant writing • Social media • Coalition building 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trauma Informed Care • Chief Health Strategist & Public Health 3.0 • Health equity and SDoH

Fig. 5. Training supports LHDs mentioned would be useful for making progress on SDoH work

Tipping Points

LHDs had a primary reason for choosing a particular SDoH area. LHDs identified the following as catalysts for action:

- **CHA/CHNA/CHIPS:** Over half the LHDs used data from these processes as a springboard into action
- **Grants Applied For or Offered:** Grant funding provided LHDs ability to begin to make progress on SDoH work
- **External Factors:** LHD staff's lived experience as frontline workers offer insight; Other events that impact the community motivated LHDs to make progress on a SDoH area

Key Players

Common community partners of LHDs included churches, local government (county commission, law enforcement, etc.), local universities and schools, K-State Research & Extension, business leaders, hospitals, and coalitions.


Recommendations

LHDs offered advice for their peers who want to do SDoH work:

- Relationship building and engaging with community, county commission, and those who are impacted by the issue
- Avoid over-planning and embrace the uncertainty of adaptive work through experimentation and risk taking

Based on these findings, the following are **recommendations for LHDs and their state and system partners** to help advance SDoH work:

- LHDs should work collaboratively and partner with other sectors
- Training and peer learning opportunities are needed to build capacity of LHDs (See Fig. 5)



Questions? Contact Olivia Borland at olivia.borland@wichita.edu or Ty Kane at ty.kane@wichita.edu.